Forecasting JPFA Share Price using Long Short Term Memory Neural Network
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Abstract—To invest or buy and sell on the stock exchange requires understanding in the field of data analysis. The movement of the curve in the stock market is very dynamic, so it requires data modeling to predict stock prices in order to get prices with a high degree of accuracy. Machine Learning currently has a good level of accuracy in processing and predicting data. In this study, we modeled data using the Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) algorithm to predict the stock price of a company called Japfa Comfeed. The main objective of this journal is to analyze the level of accuracy of Machine Learning algorithms in predicting stock price data and to analyze the number of epochs in forming an optimal model. The results of our research show that the LSTM algorithm has a good level of accurate prediction shown in mape values and the data model obtained on variations in epochs values. All optimization models show that the higher the epoch value, the lower the loss value. Adam's Optimization Model is the model with the highest accuracy value of 98.44%.
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1. Introduction

The economy of a country is strongly influenced by the role of the capital market. The capital market is a long-term financial instrument in which there are stock buying and selling transactions, funding facilities for companies, as well as a means for investment activities. Several countries that follow the market economy system, the source of the country’s economic progress is strongly influenced by the capital market, because the capital market is alternative source of funds for the companies that join it. In the capital market, one form of investment that can be made is investing in stocks. Shares are a form of participation in a company or business entity that invests in the capital market[1].

Stock prices are not something constant or stable but fluctuate. Fluctuations in stock prices are caused by several factors, including the demand and supply of these shares. Demand and supply are caused by many things, such as the company’s performance, the field the company is in, as well as several dominant factors such as inflation, currency exchange rates, interest rates[2].

Demand and supply are caused by many things, such as the company's performance, the field the company is in, as well as several dominant factors such as inflation, currency exchange rates, interest rates. In Indonesia, there is one company in the agree-food sector, namely JAPFA Comfeed. PT Japfa Comfeed Indonesia, Tbk. is one of the largest and leading agri-food companies in the country. We are a producer of quality and reliable animal protein, which faithfully serves the needs and has become the pride of Indonesia since 1975. because Indonesia is an agricultural country, this company is in great demand by investors to invest [3].

According to Anthony Wijaya and Nanik Linawati, the higher the stock price, the higher the company's value. The increase in share prices was triggered by the higher investor valuation of these shares[4].

Seeing the condition of stocks that continue to fluctuate every day, investors need to pay attention and study banking data in the past, as a strategy for investing. This is very important because investors can find out the prospects for the condition of the stock prices in the company. There are many methods that can be used to predict stock prices. Machine Learning is one of the methods to approach predicting stock prices. Machine Learning is a sub of Artificial Intelligence which aims to increase knowledge or performance [5][6][7].

2. Literature Review

2.1. Forecasting

Forecasting is a process of systematically estimating something that is most likely to happen in the future based on past and present information that is owned, so that the error (the difference between something that happened and the forecast result) can be minimized. Predictions do not have to give a definite answer to what will happen, but try to find answers as close as possible to what will happen[8].
2.2. Recurrent Neural Network

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) is a form of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) architecture specifically designed to process sequential data. RNN does not throw away information from the past by looping in its architecture, which automatically keeps information from the past stored [9].

The idea behind the RNN architecture is how to exploit sequential data structures. The name RNN comes from the fact that it operates on a iterative basis. This means that the same operation is performed for every element of a sequence, with the output depending on the current input and the previous operation. The point is that RNN focuses on the nature of data where the instance of the previous or current time (t) affects the instance of the next time (t + 1).

Fig. 1. RNN Circuit Diagram

In Figure 1, the image on the left is a circuit diagram, where the black squares represent the time delay of one time step. The diagram shows an RNN in an unrolled position to a full network. While the figure on the right shows an RNN that has been unfolded (unrolled / unfolded) to become a full network so that the sequence is complete[5].

2.3. Long Short Term Memory

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) is a development of the RNN architecture. Hochreiter & Schmidhuber are the figures behind the emergence of the LSTM method which was first introduced to the public in 1997. LSTM emerged because there was dissatisfaction in the RNN architecture for processing long-term sequential data. RNN has the disadvantage that the gradient disappears when it adopts the backpropagation algorithm. LSTM emerged because there was dissatisfaction in the RNN architecture for processing long-term sequential data. RNN has the disadvantage that the gradient disappears when it adopts the backpropagation algorithm[12]. There are several previous studies using the LSTM Algorithm, including research to predict product sales[13], and research on motor conditions[14].

LSTM is said to be the development of RNN because basically they have the same structure which consists of...
Table 1. Japfa Stock Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Close</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/02/2018</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/02/2018</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/02/2018</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/02/2018</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Data on Japfa shares in the close section for 2018-2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Close</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/02/2018</td>
<td>1580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/02/2018</td>
<td>1595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/02/2018</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/02/2018</td>
<td>1530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Preprocessing Data

In the preprocessing of the data, segmentation or grouping of data will be carried out from the data retrieval process on yahoo finance. Data taken as many as 1252 data based on Japfa stock data. Sequentially taken 75% of the data (939 data) for training and 25% of the data (313 data) used for testing. Illustration of data segmentation can be seen in Figure 4.

```
3.3 LSTM Model Design

LSTM is a variation of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) which is used to solve hidden layer problems. The essence of the LSTM algorithm is to incorporate non-linear and dependent control into RNN cells[16], on the other hand to ensure the gradient of the objective function by observing the signal does not disappear. LSTM is used to overcome vanishing gradients or situations when the gradient is 0 or close to 0 by passing through the gate mechanism[17]. The LSTM algorithm combines the previous state, with the current memory and input values. For the level of efficiency, LSTM is able to record long-term dependencies.

Fig. 5. Illustration of the LSTM Model

Based on figure 5, the LSTM has three gates including the Forget gate \( f \) which is used to determine the information to be eliminated from the cell using the sigmoid layer by activating the Relu function. The input gate \( i \) is used as forwarding information from the sigmoid layer which will be updated and the tanh layer will be changed to a vector that will be updated. The gate output is used to display the contents of the memory cells in the LSTM output process. In the LSTM process, the first step is to terminate information from \( C_{t-1} \) by using a forget gate. This gate has the task of reading the values of \( s_{t-1} \) and \( x \), resulting in a value between 0 to 1, for each element in \( C_{t-1} \). When formulated, it will form the following equation [11].

\[
f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [S_{t-1}, X_t] + b_f)
\]

For elements in \( C_{t-1} \) it is possible to store gender subject information temporarily, so that the correct pronoun can be used. When looking at new subjects, the old elements in \( C_{t-1} \) can be removed. The next process, the input gate decides which value to update. Then, for the tanh layer, generate a new context vector candidate \( C^\prime \). Therefore it will be merged between the two to make updates to the context later. So, in this case the process can be formulated as follows [11].

\[
i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [S_{t-1}, X_t] + b_i)
\]

\[
C_t = \tanh(W_c \cdot [S_{t-1}, X_t] + b_c)
\]

Now it will be done to update the old context \( C_{t-1} \) into the new context \( C_t \). To eliminate things that have been decided, the forget gate process \( f \) in equation (1) is multiplied by the old context in equation (2) and equation (3). Then, a new equation will be obtained as follows [11].

\[
C_t = f_t \cdot C_{t-1} + i_t \cdot C_t
\]
In the output gate process, cell and sigmoid layers will be updated to decide what parts of the context will be generated. So the following equation will be obtained [11].

\[
o_t = \sigma(W_o \cdot [S_{t-1}, X_t] + b_o) \\
S_t = o_t \cdot \tanh(C_t)
\]

Where for sigma (\(\sigma\)) is the sigmoid activation function with a range of values between -1 and 1 then tanh is the target activation function with a value (-1,1) while \(W_t\), \(W_i\), \(W_c\), \(W_o\) is the matrix weight and for \(S_{t-1}\) is the hidden the previous state as well as \(b_t\), \(b_i\), \(b_c\), \(b_o\) are vectors of can.

4. Result and Discussion

This chapter will discuss the results of research conducted using the Japfa stock dataset from 2018-2023 by dividing 75% training data and 25% testing data by emphasizing the open price column stock data. In the process of running the LSTM algorithm it is divided into several processes including the use of the optimizer Adam, SGD and Rmsprop with variations of epochs 25, 50, 75 and 100.

The dataset used in this research is ordered from 16 February 2018 to 16 February 2023. The data analysis process using machine learning is focused on the close price column, which is the daily closing price for each stock data. The data is visualized in the form of a line chart using Python programming on the Google Colabs platform. Visualization of Japfa stock data in the Close column can be seen in Figure 6.

After testing each optimization and epoch variation using the data provided, the visualization results of prediction graphs with Adam Optimization can be seen in Figure 6 to 10, SGD Optimization Results can be seen in Figure 11 to 14, and RMSprop optimization results can be seen in Figure 15 to 18.
Fig. 11. Training and Testing Visualization using SGD Optimization and Epoch 25

Fig. 12. Training and Testing Visualization using SGD Optimization and Epoch 50

Fig. 13. Training and Testing Visualization using SGD Optimization and Epoch 75

Fig. 14. Training and Testing Visualization using SGD Optimization and Epoch 100

Fig. 15. Training and Testing Visualization using RMSprop Optimization and Epoch 25

Fig. 16. Training and Testing Visualization using RMSprop Optimization and Epoch 50

Fig. 17. Training and Testing Visualization using RMSprop Optimization and Epoch 75

Fig. 18. Training and Testing Visualization using RMSprop Optimization and Epoch 100
4.1 Result of Accuracy Testing

The accuracy test results use the 1- Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) formula. The experimental results used the 3 types of optimization that have been mentioned, and 4 variations of epoch values. The results of the Accuracy Value can be seen in Table 3 and Visualization using the Line Chart can be seen in Figure 19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>SGD</th>
<th>Adam</th>
<th>RMSprop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>92.04</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>95.29</td>
<td>98.18</td>
<td>96.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>96.55</td>
<td>98.29</td>
<td>97.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.61</td>
<td>98.44</td>
<td>97.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on table 3 and figure 19 it is known that the highest accuracy value is obtained in Adam optimization with an epoch value of 100 with an accuracy value of 98.44% and the lowest accuracy value is obtained in SGD optimization with an epoch value of 25 with an accuracy value of 92.04%. In Adam and SGD Optimization, the higher the epoch value used, the better the accuracy value obtained. However, the RMSprop optimization does not apply. The accuracy value of the optimization is not too influential based on the epoch. In the RMSprop optimization the highest accuracy value is obtained at epoch 25.

4.2 Result of Loss Testing

The Loss test results use the Mean Squared Error (MSE) formula. The experimental results used the 3 types of optimization that have been mentioned, and 4 variations of epoch values. The results of the Loss values can be seen in Table 4 and Visualization using the Line Chart can be seen in Figure 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>SGD</th>
<th>Adam</th>
<th>RMSprop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.02459</td>
<td>0.00431</td>
<td>0.00431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.01471</td>
<td>0.00278</td>
<td>0.00299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.00821</td>
<td>0.00238</td>
<td>0.00271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00676</td>
<td>0.00202</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on table 4 and figure 10 it is known that the lowest loss value was obtained in Adam and RMSprop optimization with an epoch value of 100 with a loss value of 0.00202 and the highest loss value was obtained in SGD optimization with an epoch value of 25 with a loss value of 0.02459. In SGD optimization, the loss value is the highest in each experiment using the epoch variation compared to other optimizations, the higher the epoch value used, the lower the loss value obtained.

4.3 Result of Total Computing Time Testing

The total computational test results use the sum of the computational calculation results for each epoch experiment contained in the library. The experimental results using the 3 types of optimization that have been mentioned, and 4 variations of epoch values can be seen in Table 5 and visualization using the Line Chart can be seen in Figure 21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Total Computing Time (second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGD</td>
<td>Adam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on table 5 and figure 21 it is known that the fastest computation time is obtained in Adam optimization with an epoch value of 25 with a computation time of 36 seconds and the longest computation time is obtained in an Adam optimization experiment with an epoch value of 100 with a computation time of 149 seconds. Based on table 5, it can be concluded that the larger the epoch, the higher the computation time.

5. Conclusion

In this study, several experiments were obtained including analyzing the optimization model, epoch variation, computation time, loss value and accuracy. Variations in epoch values affect computation time, the greater the epoch value, the higher the computation time required to complete the LSTM algorithm. The optimization model also affects the results of each epoch variation on loss and accuracy. All optimization models show that the higher the epoch value, the lower the loss value.

The highest accuracy value is obtained in Adam optimization with an epoch value of 100 with an accuracy value of 98.44% and the lowest accuracy value is obtained in SGD optimization with an epoch value of 25 with an accuracy value of 92.04%. The lowest loss value was obtained in Adam and RMSprop optimization with an epoch value of 100 with a loss value of 0.00202 and the highest loss value was obtained in SGD optimization with an epoch value of 25 with a loss value of 0.02459.

The fastest computation time is obtained in Adam optimization with an epoch value of 25 with a computation time of 36 seconds and the longest computation time is obtained in an Adam optimization experiment with an epoch value of 100 with a computation time of 149 seconds.
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