# THE INFLUENCES OF ACCOUNTABILITY, TRANSPARENCY AND INTERNAL CONTROL WITH PARTICIPATION AS MODERATION VARIABLE ON FRAUD PREVENTION IN VILLAGE FUNDS MANAGEMENT

Annisa Febiarty<sup>1)</sup>, M.Th. Heni Widyarti<sup>2)</sup>, I Nyoman Romangsi <sup>3)</sup>
<sup>1)2)3)</sup> Accounting Department, Managerial Accounting Study
Program,

State Polytechnic of Semarang, Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, Tembalang, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, 50275

heniwidyarti67@gmail.com

Abstract: This study aimed to analyze the influences of accountability. transparency, and internal control with participation as moderation variables on fraud prevention in village funds management. Primary and secondary data were collected by questionnaire and interview. The objects are five villages of Pabelan District, Semarang Regency namely, Bendungan, Karanggondang, Kadirejo, Terban, and Semowo, Sampling method used purposive sampling. The number of samples is 102 respondents of Village Officials, Badan Permusyawaratan Desa (BPD), and the Farmers Group (Kelompok Tani & Kelompok Wanita Tani). This study used IBM SPSS version 22 software program. The results of the hypothesis analysis are accountability and internal control partially influences fraud prevention while transparency partially does not influence fraud prevention. Accountability, transparency, and internal control simultaneously influence fraud prevention and participation moderates the influences of accountability, transparency, and internal control simultaneously on fraud prevention in village funds management.

Keywords: Accountability, Transparency, Internal Control, Fraud Prevention, Participation, Villages Fund Management

Abstrak: Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis pengaruh akuntabilitas, transparansi dan pengendalian internal dengan partisipasi sebagai variabel moderasi terhadap pencegahan fraud dalam pengelolaan dana desa. Data yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini yaitu data primer dan data sekunder yang didapatkan melalui penyebaran kuesioner dan wawancara. Objek dalam penelitian ini terdiri dari lima desa di Kecamatan Pabelan, Kabupaten Semarang yaitu Desa Bendungan, Desa Karanggondang, Desa Kadirejo, Desa Terban, dan Desa Semowo. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode purposive sampling sehingga didapatkan sampel berjumlah 102 responden yang terdiri dari Perangkat Desa, Badan Permusyawaratan Desa (BPD), Kelompok Tani dan Kelompok Wanita Tani. Penelitian ini menggunakan IBM SPSS versi 22. Hasil dari analisis hipotesis adalah sebagai berikut: akuntabilitas dan pengendalian internal secara parsial mempengaruhi pencegahan fraud sedangkan transparansi secara parsial tidak mempengaruhi pencegahan fraud. Akuntabilitas, transparansi, dan pengendalian internal secara simultan mempengaruhi pencegahan fraud dan partisipasi dapat memoderasi pengaruh akuntabilitas, transparansi, dan pengendalian internal secara simultan terhadap pencegahan fraud dalam pengelolaan dana desa.

# Kata Kunci: Akuntabilitas, Transparansi, Pengendalian Internal, Pencegahan Fraud, Partisipasi, Pengelolaan Dana Desa

#### INTRODUCTIONS

# Background

Law of The Republic of Indonesia Number 6 of 2014 said that villages have the authority to organize village governance, carry out village development, conduct village development activity, and empower village the community based on their traditions and cultures. Therefore. the Government has allocated a national budget for the villages called the village funds since 2015.

Ayosemarang.com published an article and reported the Village Funds Budget for Central Java Province in 2020 increased by 5% from the year. from 7.8 previous trillion Rupiah to 8.2 trillion Rupiah and the village funds for Semarang Regency amount to 186.914.532.000. The Village Government can use it to village increase public services, streamline resources, and conduct village development activities.

Indonesia Corruption Watch (ICW) monitored the village budget corruption in 2015 -2018 and found 264 corruption cases. The cases are misuse of the budget. reports. fictitious embezzlement, budget inflation and bribery. While in 2019, ICW found 46 cases of village budget corruption which caused state losses up to 32,3 Billion Rupiah.

Law of The Republic of Indonesia Number 19 of 2019 explains that Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi (KPK) only handles cases which are related to state apparatus while village heads and village officials are not included in it. Based on the information above. the Government needs fraud prevention to identify high risk of fraud activities. narrow down the fraud space and ward off potential perpetrators.

Karianga (2011) said the process of managing state finances needs community participation to build transparency and create accountable information. This statement is supported by Law of The Republic Indonesia Number 17 of 2003 which explains Government should do best practices with Accountability and Transparency in state finances management. Therefore, the Government needs internal control implementation to establish it.

Based on the information above, the title of the Final Project is "The Influences of Accountability, Transparency, and Internal Control with Participation as Moderation Variable on Fraud Prevention in The Village Funds Management (Case Study in Five Villages of Pabelan District)".

# **Objectives**

- 1. To find out whether the implementation of accountability influences fraud prevention in the village funds management.
- 2. To find out whether the implementation of transparency influences fraud prevention in the

village funds management.

- 3. To find out whether the implementation of internal control influences fraud prevention in the village funds management.
- 4. To find out whether the implementation of accountability, transparency, and internal control simultaneously influence fraud prevention in the village funds management.
- 5. To find out whether Participation moderates the relationship between accountability, transparency, and internal control simultaneously on fraud prevention in the village funds management.

#### Literature Review

#### The Village Funds

The Minister of Home Affairs Regulation Number 113 of 2014 explained that the village funds are transferred by the Local Government from the State Budget to finance the governance, village development, community development, and empowerment of the villages.

Sujarweni (2015) explained that the Village Government should manage the village funds based on the principles of transparency, accountability, and participation.

# Accountability

Accountability is the principle that ensures the availability of mechanisms, the role of professional management responsibilities for the implementation of decisions and policies (Hamdani, 2016: 74).

# **Dimension of Accountability**

Hopwood and Tomkins (1984) and Elwood (1993) in Mahmudi (2007) explained that public institutions should conduct the dimension of Accountability, they are:

- 1. Accountability for Probity and Legality.
- 2. Managerial Accountability.
- 3. Program Accountability.
- 4. Policy Accountability.
- 5. Financial Accountability.

#### Transparency

Transparency is the principle that guarantees access to provide the information on the managerial process for stakeholders (Hamdani, 2016: 72-74).

# Characteristic of Transparency

Transparency has three characteristics (Maharani, 2019), they are:

- 1. Informative.
  The Government should provide accurate data, facts, and procedures for stakeholders.
- 2. Openness.

  The Government should give the right to access accurate data, facts, and procedures easily for stakeholders.
- 3. Disclosure.

  The government should provide the financial statement of activities and financial performance for stakeholders.

#### **Internal Control**

The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Tread way Commission (COSO) 2013 Framework said that internal control is defined as a process, effect by an entity's board of directors,

other management. and personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance relating the achievement t.o ofoperations. reporting, and compliance oflaws and regulations.

# Components of Internal Control

COSO 2013 Framework also explained that internal control has five components. Thev are control of the environment. risk assessment, control activities, information & communication. and monitoring activities.

- 1. Control environment describes a set of standards, processes, and structures that provide the basis for carrying out internal control across the Government.
- 2. Risk assessment forms the basis for determining how risks will be managed.
- 3. Control activities are actions (generally described in policies, procedures. standards) that help the Government mitigate risks ensuring the achievement of objectives.

4. Information is provided by the Government from both internal and external sources supporting internal control while components. communication is used to disseminate important information throughout outside and of the Government.

5. Monitoring activities are periodic or ongoing evaluations to verify each of the five components of internal control, including the controls that affect the principles within each component.

# **Participation**

The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) about The Role of Stakeholders in Corporate Governance said that individuals or groups should be able to communicate their concerns and their rights freely. They also should have access to relevant. sufficient. reliable information on time.

#### Fraud

There are four topics of fraud. They are the fraud theory, the fraud category, the fraud causative factors. and the fraud prevention.

# The Fraud Theory

Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE) said that is anv illegal characterized bv deceit. concealment or violation of trust carried out bv individuals or groups secure personal or business advantage (Tiahiono et al. 2013: 21-23). Fraud includes elements ofdeception. confidence. trickery. concealment strategy (Hamdani, 2016; 147).

# The Fraud Category

The fraud category is divided into three groups (Hamdani, 2016: 147-148), they are:

- 1. Financial Statement Fraud. Financial Statement Fraud is fraud occurrence because of an error in presenting financial statements for stakeholders and it can inflict a financial loss.
- 2. Asset Misappropriation. Asset Misappropriation is fraudulent cash.

inventory, other assets, and also improper expenses.

3. Corruption.

According to the Association of Certified Fraud Examination (ACFE) (2000), corruption is divided into Conflicts of Interest, Bribery, Illegal Gratuity, and Economic Extortion.

# The Fraud Causative Factors

Triangle Theory is Fraud made by Donald R. Cressey to explain three factors of fraud occurrence. Thev opportunity, pressure, and rationalization. The first factor is pressure. It occurs by the financial factor and the non-financial factor. The financial factor is conducted by material things while the non-financial factor is conducted by bad The personalities. second factor is the rationalization. It is needed by perpetrators to justify their actions. The third factor is opportunity. Organizational weaknesses or a badly implemented system give the perpetrators will space to commit fraud.

# The Fraud Prevention

Prevention Fraud is conducted by management in terms of establishing policies, systems, and procedures to achieve three main objectives. They are the reliability of financial reporting. the effectiveness and efficiency of operations. and compliance with the application of laws and regulations (COSO. 1994).

The fraud prevention (Amrizal, 2004), are as follows:

- 1. Build a good internal control structure The 2013 Committee of Sponsoring Organizations the ofTread wav Commission (COSO)introduces an internal control framework which consists five ofinterrelated components namelv. the control environment. risk assessment. control activities. information and communication, and monitoring. COSO develops it from the traditional accounting control model to carry out managerial processes.
- 2. Streamlining control activities

The Government needs to check and evaluate control activities regularly to narrow down the fraud space and ward off potential perpetrators.

3. Improving organizational culture
The Government should not only prioritize ethics and morality but also improve organizational culture by implementing the principles of Good Corporate Governance such as Accountability

and Transparency.

#### **METHODS**

## Populatian and Sample

Populations of this research were the Village Officials, Badan Permusyawaratan Desa (BPD), and the Farmers Group in Pabelan District of Semarang Regency.

The sample of this research is Village Officials. Badan Permusyawaratan Desa (BPD). and the Farmer Groups of five villages namely, Bendungan, Karanggondang, Kadireio. Semowo, and Terban with a

total 102 respondents. The village funds budget has increased for three consecutive years from 2018 to 2020 in those villages.

#### **Data Classification**

# Based on Type

According to Chandrarin (2018: 122), quantitative data are obtained by numbers which are derived from the calculation of each variable measurement attribute. This research only used quantitative data.

# **Based on Source**

- 1. Primary Data are obtained directly from the respondents, both individuals and groups (Chandrarin, 2018: 123). The data were collected by questionnaire.
- 2. Secondary Data are obtained from persons or institutions which have used or published it (Chandrarin, 2018: 124). Secondary data of this research were the Village Funds Statement of 2018 2020.

#### **Data Collection Method**

This thesis uses two methods, they are:

- 1. Questionnaire
- 2. Interview

### Research Variable

# 1. Accountability (X1)

Accountability is built from the government's responsibilities in the process of providing information for the community. This research uses Accountability as an independent variable.

# 2. Transparency (X2)

Transparency is built from the accessibility of information publicity for the community. This research uses Transparency as an independent variable.

#### 3. Internal Control (X3)

Internal Control is the legal activities to monitor the process of village funds management. This research uses Internal Control as an independent variable.

#### 4. Fraud Prevention (Y)

Fraud Prevention is the effort to decrease the probabilities of fraud occurrence. This research uses Fraud Prevention as a dependent variable.

# 5. Participation (Z)

Participation is an active role from the community by contributing voluntary labor, thoughts, funds, or goods in all activities. This research uses Participation as moderation variable.

# Data Analysis Method

The data analysis method of this research used IBM SPSS version 22 software program. It used a significance degree of 5% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

# The Statistic Descriptive

The statistic descriptive obtains the explanation of the characteristics of the sample (Chandrarin, 2018: 139). It gives the general description about data which has been obtained by the researcher (Lupiyoadi et al, 2015: 84).

### Research Instrument Test

#### 1. Validity Test

Validity tests ensure the item of question or statement can be used to measure the actual condition of the respondents. Decision making is taken by comparing R-Value and R-Table. If the R-Value is more than the R-Table, the item is valid (R-Value > R-Table = Valid) (Wibowo, 2012: 35-37).

2. Reliability Test
Reliability test ensured the
consistency of the research
instrument. Decision-making
is taken by comparing
Cronbach's Alpha and
reliability coefficient index. If
the Cronbach's Alpha is more
than 0,70, the item is reliable
(Cronbach's Alpha > 0,70 =

# Classic Assumption Test

Reliable) (Ghozali, 2018: 46).

- 1. Normality Test The normality test ensures the normality of data distribution, so it can be used in parametric analysis (Lupiyoadi et al, 2015: 134). Normality test is conducted by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test. If the significance value is more than the significance degree  $(\alpha)$ 0.05). =distribution is normal (Wibowo, 2012: 72).
- 2. Multicollinearity Test
  The multicollinearity problem
  is a condition that shows
  strong correlation between
  the independent variables
  (Lupiyoadi et al, 2015: 141).
  Multicollinearity test ensured
  that this research has no
  correlation between the
  independent variables. It is

conducted by the Tolerance-VIF Test. If the Tolerance is more than 0,10 (Tolerance > 0,10) and VIF is less than 10,0 (VIF < 10,0), all of the variables are free from multicollinearity problems (Wibowo, 2012: 87).

3. Heteroscedasticity Test The heteroscedasticity problem is the unequal residual variations on the data (Lupiyoadi et al, 2015: 138). Heteroscedasticity test ensured that this research residual has no unequal variations on the data. It is conducted by the Gleiser Test. If the significance value is more than the significance degree ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), all of the variables are free from heteroscedasticity problems (Wibowo, 2012: 93).

# The Hypothesis Analysis

The hypothesis analysis is conducted by Multiple Linear Regression. In general, the regression model is (Wibowo, 2012: 127):

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$

Annotation:

Y = Dependent Variable α = Constanta Value

= Regression Coefficient Value  $X_{1}$ 1<sup>st</sup> Independent

Variable  $2^{nd}$ Independent  $X_2 =$ 

 $3^{rd}$  $X_{3}$ = Independent Variable

 $X_n = \text{n-independent Variable}$ 

# **Determination Coefficient**

Determination Coefficient  $(R^2)$  shows the ability independent variables in explaining the variations of dependent variables (Kuncoro, 2007: 84).

# T-Test

Variable

T-Test is conducted to find out influence the ofeach Independent Variable on the Dependent Variable. T-Test also called the Partial Test (Lupivoadi et al. 2015: 168). T Table is obtained from t (α / 2; n-k-1). Decision-making is taken by comparing T-Value and T-Table, also comparing the significance value and significance degree. If the Tvalue is more than T Table and the significance value is less than the significance degree ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), independent variable has influence

dependent variable partially (Wibowo, 2012: 133).

#### F-Test

F-Test is conducted to find out the influence between the Independent Variables the Dependent Variable simultaneously (Lupiyoadi et al, 2015: 167). F Table is obtained from f (k; n-k). Decision-making is taken by comparing F-Value and F-Table, also comparing the significance value significance degree. If the F-Value is more than F-Table and the significance value is less than the significance degree ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), independent variable has influence dependent variable simultaneously Wibowo, 2012: 133).

#### Moderated Regression Analysis (MRA)

Moderated Regression Analysis (MRA) is an analysis technique to test the strength of the relationship between the Independent Variables and the Dependent Variable (Chandrarin, 2018: 135).

In general, the Moderated Regression Analysis (MRA) model is (Liana, 2009):

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1 X_2 +$$

### Annotation:

Y = Dependent Variable

 $\alpha$  = Constanta Value

ß = Regression Coefficient Value

 $X_{I}$  = Independent Variable

 $X_2$  = Moderation Variable

e = Error

#### AND RESULTS DISCUSSION

# The General Description of **Objects**

This research was conducted in five villages of Pabelan District, Semarang Regency. Pabelan District was chosen because it has the most villages in Semarang Regency with a total 17 villages. The object of this research is Karanggondang Village. Terban Village, Bendungan Village, Semowo Village, and Kadirejo Village. The village funds budget has increased for three consecutive years from 2018 to 2020 in those villages.

#### Research Instrument Test

- 1. Validity Test
- Table with Degree Freedom (df) = n-2. N = 24. Therefore, R-Table is 0,4044  $(df = 22 dan \alpha = 0.05)$ . Based on the test results, most items of this research were valid. But there are three items which are not valid because R-Value is less than 0,4044 (R-Value < 0.4044). They are A6, PF25, and P28. Therefore, those items must be deleted on next analysis.
- 2. Reliability Test Based on the test results, all Cronbach's Alpha of variables is more than 0,70. Therefore, all variables of this research were reliable.

# Classic Assumption Test

- 1. Normality Test normality  $\operatorname{test}$ conducted by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test. Based on the test results, the significance value ismore than significance degree (0.20 >0.05). Therefore, this research had normal distribution.
- 2. Multicollinearity Test The multicollinearity test was conducted by the Tolerance-

VIF Test. Based on the test results, the Tolerance is more than 0,10 and VIF is less than 10,0. Therefore, this research had no multicollinearity problems.

3. Heteroscedasticity Test
The heteroscedasticity test
was conducted by the Glejser
Test. Based on the test
results, the significance value
is more than significance
degree (0,223 > 0,05).
Therefore, this research had
no heteroscedasticity
problems.

# The Hypothesis Analysis

It used a sample with total 102 respondents and the significance degree of 5% ( $\alpha$  = 0,05).

# Determination Coefficient (Regression Model I)

Adjusted R square values were 0,726 which means that 72,6% of the dependent variable (Fraud Prevention) can be explained by the independent variables (Accountability,

Transparency, and Internal Control), while the rest is explained by the other variables.

Model Summary						
		${ m R}$	Adjuste	Std. Error		
Mo		Squar	d R	of the		
del	$\mathbf{R}$	e	Square	Estimate		
1	$.857^{\mathrm{a}}$	.734	.726	1.543		
a. Predictors: (Constant), PI, T, A						
T-Test						

T-Table is 1,984. In general, the regression model in this research is:

$$Y = 4.436 + 0.566X_1 + 0.115X_2 + 0.539X_3$$

# Annotation:

X1 = Accountability
 X2 = Transparency
 X3 = Internal Control
 Y = Fraud Prevention

1. The Influence of Accountability on Fraud Prevention.

Based on the test results, the T-Value for Accountability is 5,464 and the significance value is 0,000. The first hypothesis (H1) is accepted because T-Value is more than T-Table (5,464 > 1,984) and the significance value is less than significance degree (0,000 < 0,05).

2. The Influence of Transparency on Fraud Prevention.

Based on the test results, the T-Value for Transparency is 0,922 and the significance value is 0,359. The second hypothesis (H2) is rejected because T-Value is less than T-Table (0.922 < 1.984) and the significance value is more significance than degree (0.359 > 0.05).

3. The Influence of Internal Control Fraud on Prevention.

Based on the test results, the T-Value for Internal Control is 6,324 and the significance value is 0,000. The third hypothesis (H3) is accepted because T-Value is more than T-Table (6,324 > 1,984) and the significance value is less significance than degree (0,000)< 0.05).

$egin{cases}  ext{Coefficients}^{ ext{a}} \end{aligned}$							
				Stand	ardize		
		Unstanda	ardized	Ċ	l		
		Coeffic	ients	Coeffi	cients		
			Std.				
Model		В	Error	Be	eta	T	Sig.
1	(Constant	4.436	1.501			2.954	.004
	A	.566	.104		.410	5.464	.000
	T	.115	.125		.058	.922	.359
	PI	.539	.085		.482	6.324	.000
a. Dependent Variable: PF							
F-Test hypothesis (H4) is a				4) is ac	cepted		
				becaus	e F-Valu	ie is mor	e than
F Tabl	F Table is $2,70$ .Based on the F-Table $(90,321 > 2,70)$ and						)) and
test results, the F-Value is				the sig	gnificanc	e value	is less
				than			degree
value is 0,000. The fourth		(0,000		<	0,05).		
$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{a}}$							
		Sum			Mean		
Model		Squar	es I	Of S	Square	F	Sig.

1	Regression	645.523	3	215.174	90.32	.000b	
	Residual	233.468	98	2.382			
	Total	878.990	101				
a.	a. Dependent Variable: PF						

# Moderated Regression Analysis (MRA)

Based on the test results, the adjusted R square of MRA is 0,771 or 77,1% while the adjusted R square regression model I is 0,726 or

b. Predictors: (Constant), PI, T, A

72.6% (Table 4.24). It showed that the adjusted R square increased 4.5%from regression model I. Therefore, the fifth hypothesis (H5) is accepted because the adjusted increased square from 77.1%. 72.6% to

Model Summary

-			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate
1	$.882^{a}$	.778	.771	1.411

a. Predictors: (Constant), X1234, P. X123

# The Discussion of Hypothesis Analysis

# 1. The Influence of Accountability on Fraud Prevention

Based on the result of T-Test. T-Value is more than T-Table (5,464 > 1,984)and the significance value is less than significance degree (0,000 < 0.05). Therefore, the first hypothesis (H1) is accepted which means that Accountability partially influences Fraud Prevention in village funds management.

#### 2. The Influence Transparency on Fraud Prevention

Based on the result of T-Test, T-Value is less than T-Table (0.922)< 1,984) and the significance value is more than significance degree (0.359 > 0.05). Therefore, the second hypothesis (H2) is rejected which means that Transparency partially does influence Fraud Prevention in village funds management.

# 3. The Influence of Internal Control on Fraud Prevention

Based on the result of T-Test, T-Value is more than T-Table (6,324 > 1,984) and the significance value is less than significance degree (0,000 < 0,05). Therefore, the third hypothesis (H3) is accepted which means that Internal Control partially influences Fraud Prevention in village funds management.

# 4. The Influences of Accountability, Transparency, and Internal Control Simultaneously on Fraud Prenvention

Based on the result of the F-Test. F-Value is more than F-Table (90,321 > 2,70) and the significance value is less than significance degree (0,000 < 0,05). Therefore, the fourth hypothesis (H4) is accepted which means Accountability, Transparency, Control and Internal simultaneously influences Fraud Prevention in village funds management.

5. The Influences of Accountability,
Transparency, and Internal Control Simultaneously with

# Participation as moderation variable on Fraud Prevention

Based on the result of MRA. the adjusted R square of MRA is 0,771 or 77,1% while the adjusted R square of regression model I is 0.726 or 72,6% (Table 4.24). It showed that the adjusted R square increase 4.5% from the regression model I. Therefore, the fifth hypothesis (H5) is accepted which means that Participation moderates the influence of Accountability. Transparency, and Internal Control simultaneously Fraud Prevention in village funds management.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on result and discussion, the conclusions of this research are as follows:

- Accountability partially influences Fraud Prevention in village funds management.
- 2. Transparency partially does not influence Fraud Prevention in village funds management.
- 3. Internal Control partially influences Fraud Prevention in village funds management.

- 4. Accountability,
  Transparency, and
  Internal Control
  simultaneously influences
  Fraud Prevention in
  village funds
  management.
- 5. Participation moderates
  the influence of
  Accountability,
  Transparency, and
  Internal Control
  simultaneously on Fraud
  Prevention in village
  funds management.

#### Recommendations

Based on the conclusions and research limitations, the recommendations are as follows:

- 1. The next research should be conducted in a larger area. It can be conducted in all villages of Pabelan District, Semarang Regency.
- 2. The next research should use other combinations of the independent variables, such as village apparatus competency, morality, good governance, and others.

#### References

Adiyanti, A., & Supriadi, Y. N. (2018). Efektivitas

Pengendalian Internal dan Kompetensi SDM terhadap Implementasi Good Governance serta Implikasinya pada Pencegahan Fraud dalam Pengelolaan Keuangan Desa Kabupaten Tangerang.

Amrizal. (2004, August 31). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from bpkp.go.id: http://bpkp.go.id/public /upload/unit/investigas i/files/Gambar/PDF/ceg ah\_deteksi.pdf

Ardyansah, V. (2020).
Retrieved May 7, 2020,
from
www.ayosemarang.co
m:
https://www.ayosemar
ang.com/read/2020/01/
30/51466/dana-desauntuk-jateng-naik-5pada-2020

Atmadja, e. a. (2017). Pencegahan Fraud dalam Pengelolaan Keuangan Desa.

Chachage, e. a. (2019). The Role of Financial Accounting Information Transparency in

- Combating Corruption in Tanzanian Saccos.
- Chandrarin, G. (2018).

  Metode Riset
  Akuntansi :
  Pendekatan
  Kuantitatif. Jakarta
  Selatan: Salemba
  Empat.
- Development, O. f.-o. (2004).
  Retrieved May 7, 2020,
  from www.oecd.org:
  http://www.oecd.org/co
  rporate/ca/corporatego
  vernanceprinciples/315
  57724.pdf
- Fasua, H. K., & Osifo, O. (2016). Financial Control and Fraud Prevention in The Public Sector.
- Ghozali, I. (2018). Aplikasi
  Analisis Multivariate
  dengan Program IBM
  SPSS 25 Edisi 9.
  Semarang: Badan
  Penerbit Undip.
- Hamdani. (2016). Good
  Corporate Governance
  : Tinjauan Etika dalam
  Praktik Bisnis.
  Tangerang: Mitra
  Wacana Media.

- Hoesada, J. (2019). Akuntansi Desa. Jakarta Selatan: Salemba Empat.
- IFAD. (1999). Retrieved July 24, 2020, from www.ipa.government.b g: https://www.ipa.government.bg/sites/default/files/pregleddobro upraylenie.pdf
- Jahja, A. S. (2017). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from dosen.perbanas.id: https://dosen.perbanas. id/penggunaan-tensesdalam-penulisanilmiah/
- Karianga, H. (2011).

  Partisipasi

  Masyarakat dalam

  pengelolaan keuangan

  Daerah. Bandung: P.T.

  Alumni.
- Kuncoro, M. (2007). Metode Kuantitatif :Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi. Yogyakarta: (UPP) STIM YPKN.
- Laksmi, P. P., & Sujana, I. K. (2019). Pengaruh Kompetensi SDM, Moralitas, Sistem Pengendalian Internal terhadap Pencegahan

- Fraud dalam Pengelolaan Keuangan Desa.
- Liana, L. (2009). Penggunaan **SPSS** MRA dengan untuk Menguii Pengaruh Variabel Moderating terhadap Hubungan antara Variabel Independen dan Variabel Dependen. Jurnal Teknologi Informasi DINAMIK.
- Lupiyoadi, R., & Ikhsan, R. B. (2015). Praktikum Metode Riset Bisnis.
  Jakarta Selatan: Salemba Empat.
- Maharani, G. (2019). Analisis
  Pengaruh
  AKuntabilitas,
  partisipasi dan
  Transparansi terhadap
  Pengelolaan Dana
  Desa.
- Mahmudi. (2007). Manajemen Kinerja Sektor Publik.
  Yogyakarta: Unit Penerbit dan Percetakan Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Manajemen YPKN.
- Meiryani, e. a. (2019). Can Information Technology and Good

- Corporate Governance Be Used by Internal Control for Fraud Prevention.
- Nazir, M. (2017). *Metode Penelitian.*Yogyakarta: Penerbit
  Ghalia Indonesia.
- Pangaribuan, D. (2018). The Influences ofInstitution Governance, Risk Management and Accountability Performance System Implementation Fraud Prevention (Studies at Integrated Government of Banten Province).
- Saputra, e. a. (2019). Praktek Akuntabilitas dan Kompetensi Sumber Daya Manusia untuk Pencegahan Fraud dalam Pengelolaan Dana Desa.
- Sugiyono. (2009). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sujarweni, W. (2015).

  Akuntansi Desa :

  Panduan Tata Kelola

  Keuangan Desa.

Yogyakarta: Pustaka Baru Press.

- Taufik, T. (2019). The Effect ofInternal Control System Implementation in Realizing Good Governance and Its Impact Fraud on Prevention.
- Tjahjono, S., Tarigan, J., Untung, D., Efendi, J., & Hardianti, Y. (2013). Business Crimes and Ethics : Konsep dan Studi Kasus Fraud di Indonesia dan Global. Yogyakarta: Penerbit ANDI.
- Wahyudin, A. (2015).Metodologi Penelitian: Penelitian Bisnis & Pendidikan. Semarang: Unnes Press.
- Watch, I. C. (2018, February 5). Retrieved May 7.

2020. from antikorupsi.org: https://antikorupsi.org/ sites/default/files/outlo ok desa 2018 icw.pdf

- Wibowo, A. E. (2012). Aplikasi Praktis SPSS dalam Penelitian. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Gava Media.
- Widyawati, (2019).e. а. Pengaruh Kompetensi SDM, Whistleblowing System dan Sistem Pengendalian Internal terhadap Pencegahan Fraud dalam Pengelolaan Dana BUMDes (Studi Empiris pada Badan Usaha Milik desa di Kabupaten Buleleng).